File system trace papers

- A trace-driven analysis of the UNIX 4.2 BSD file system J. K. Ousterhout, H.D. Costa, D. Harrison, J.A. Kunze, M. Kupfer, and J.G.Thompson. In Proc. of the Tenth ACM symposium on 15 Operating System Principles, Dec. 1985
- Measurements of a distributed file system Mary G. Baker, John H. Hartman, Michael D. Kupfer, Ken W. Shirriff and John K. Ousterhout, Proceedings of the thirteenth ACM symposium on Operating systems principles, 1991



Trace driven analysis of the UNIX file system

- Rather old, but seminal. Influenced much of file system design for a long time
- Studies like these are extremely important to understand how typical users are using a file system so that you can tune for performance
 - Measuring system performance without perturbing the system is challenging. Drawing the right conclusions (conclusions which are obvious, not obvious, and correct) is also challenging
 - File system measurements are a little easier because one can analyze FS offline



- The key is to trace the "typical user population".
 - Academics do not have access to commercial work loads
 - Chicken and Egg syndrome: Users perform certain tasks because current systems perform poorly.
 - E.g. users may backup their work into a separate file every so often because of poor consistency guarantees.
 - UNIX vi editor saves files by deleting old file, creating a new file with the same name, writing all the data and then closing. If the system crashes after creating and write, before close, data is left in buffers which are lost, leading to a 0 byte file. It happened a lot and so programs create backup files often.



Important conclusions

- Most files are small; whole file transfer and open for short intervals. Most files are short lived. Caching really works.
- UNIX used files as intermediate data transfer mechanisms:
 - E.g. compiler
 - Preprocessor reads .c file -> .i file
 - CC1 reads .i -> .asm file and deletes .i file
 - Assembler reads .asm -> .o file and deletes .asm file
 - Linker reads .o -> executable and deletes .o file
- One solution: Make /tmp an in-memory file system

df /tmp

Filesystem1k-blocksUsed Available Use% Mounted onswap1274544177612727681% /tmp



Most files are read sequentially

- UNIX provides no support for structured files
- Applications that provide structured access (data bases) use raw file interface and by-pass operating systems
- Solution:
 - Read-ahead to improve performance



Files were open for short durations

- Many programs (such as editors), open a file, read all the contents into memory and then close the file – operate on the memory buffers. At the end, these buffers are written back to disk
 - What about mmap'd files?
- Most files are deleted quickly



Most file accesses are to the same directory

- UNIX has a hierarchical file system structure
- Typical academic users compile, word process from one directory and so all accesses are to a single directory
- File systems such as Coda, AFS have notions of volumes, cells that capture this
- Does this apply to Windows?



Most files are small

- On a departmental machine with 8 MB of main memory, what else do you expect
- Is it true now with our Safari, xemacs, IE, Power point etc?



Modern desktop drives

- Laptop drives: 320 GB, desktop drives: 2TB
- Issues to be considered: long term storage, reliability (consider the disk recovery operation for a RAID-5 that uses 3+1 2TB disks)

