# **Application Interface**

- Unstructured
  - MS Dos
- Event driven
  - PalmOS
- File system based
   UNIX, Plan 9
- Object oriented
  - Hydra, OPAL
- Distributed OS
  - Amoeba
- Real time
  - QNX
- Single Address Space OS (SASOS)
  - OPAL



# Outline

- Chapter 4: Processes
- Chapter 5: Threads
- Introduction to Threads: Birrell



#### Processes

- Process is a program in execution
  - Program code
  - Data section
  - Execution context: Program counter, registers, stack
- Process has thread(s) of control
- Many processes "run" concurrently: Process scheduling
  - Fair allocation of CPU and I/O bound processes
    - Context switch



#### **Process States**





#### **Process Control Block**

| pointer            | process<br>state |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| process number     |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| program counter    |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| registers          |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| memory limits      |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| list of open files |                  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                    | •                |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



#### **Process context switch**



6

#### **Process creation**

- Creating new processes is expensive
   Resource allocation issue
- Fork mechanism: UNIX, Windows NT
  - Duplicate the parent process
  - Shares file descriptors, memory is copied
  - Exec to create different process
  - Various optimizations to avoid copying the entire parent context (Copy on write (COW), etc..)
- Exec mechanism: VMS, Windows NT
  - New process is specifically loaded



### **Interprocess communication**

- Processes need to communicate with each other
  - Naming
  - Message-passing
    - Direct (to process) or indirect (port, mailbox)
    - Symmetric or asymmetric (blocking, nonblocking)
    - Automatic or explicit buffering (capacity)
    - Send by copy or reference
    - Fixed size or variable size messages
  - Shared memory/mutexes
  - Remote Procedure Call (RPC/RMI)
- Bounded buffer problem



# **CPU scheduling**

- Interleave processes so as to maximize utilization of CPU and I/O resources
- Scheduler should be fast as time spent in scheduler is wasted time
  - Switching context (h/w assists register windows [sparc])
  - Switching to user mode
  - Jumping to proper location
- Preemptive scheduling:
  - Process could be in the middle of an operation
  - Especially bad for kernel structures
- Non-preemptive (cooperative) scheduling:
  - Starvation



# Threads

- Applications require concurrency. Threads provide a neat abstraction to specify concurrency
- E.g. word processor application
  - Needs to accept user input, display it on screen, spell check and grammar check
  - Implicit: Write code that reads user input, displays/formats it on screen, calls spell checked etc. while making sure that interactive response does not suffer. May or may not leverage multiple processors
  - Threads: Use threads to perform each task and communicate using queues and shared data structures
  - Processes: expensive to create and do not share data structures and so explicitly passed



## **Threaded application**





### **Threads - Benefits**

Responsiveness

- If one "task" takes too long, other "tasks" can still proceed

- Resource sharing:
  - Grammar checker can check the buffer as it is being typed
- Economy:
  - Process creation is expensive (spell checker)
- Utilization of multiprocessor architectures:
  - If we had four processors (say), the word processor can fully leverage them
- Pitfalls:
  - Shared data should be protected or results are undefined
    - Race conditions, dead locks, starvation (more later)



# **Thread types**

- Continuum: Cost to create and ease of management
- User level threads (e.g. pthreads)
  - Implemented as a library
  - Fast to create
  - Cannot have blocking system calls
  - Scheduling conflicts between kernel and threads. User level threads cannot do anything is kernel preempts the process
- Kernel level threads
  - Slower to create and manage
  - Blocking system calls are no problem
  - Most OS's support these threads



## **Threading models**

One to One model

- Map each user thread to one kernel thread

- Many to one model
  - Map many user threads to a single kernel thread
  - Cannot exploit multiprocessors
- Many to many
  - Map *m* user threads to *n* kernel threads







## **Threading Issues:**

- Cancellation:
  - Asynchronous or deferred cancellation
- Signal handling:
  - Relevant thread
  - Every thread
  - Certain threads
  - Specific thread
- Pooled threads (web server)
- Thread specific data



## **Threads – Andrew Birrell**

- Seminal paper on threads programming

   Old but most techniques/experiences are still valid
- Birrell
  - Xerox PARC→Dec SRC →Microsoft Research
  - Invented Remote Procedure Calls (RPC)
  - Personal Juke box (hard disk based mp3 Apple iPOD?)
  - Worked on Cedar, Distributed FS etc for ~25 years (1977-



## **Threads – Andrew Birrell**

- Dec SRC and Xerox PARC were the premium systems research labs
- PARC researchers invented:
  - Personal computers Alto
  - Mouse
  - Windows Star
  - Bitmapped terminals
  - Icons
  - Ethernet
  - Smalltalk
  - Bravo first WYSIWYG program
  - Laser printer



### Windows 2000

| 📇 Windows Task Manager |                                    |     |     |          |           |              |         |  |  |  |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|-----|-----|----------|-----------|--------------|---------|--|--|--|
| File                   | e Options View Hel                 | P   |     |          |           |              |         |  |  |  |
| A                      | Applications Processes Performance |     |     |          |           |              |         |  |  |  |
|                        |                                    |     |     |          |           |              |         |  |  |  |
|                        | Image Name                         | PID | CPU | CPU Time | Mem Usage | Base Pri     | Threads |  |  |  |
|                        | System Idle Process                | 0   | 99  | 73:39:58 | 16 K      | N/A          | 1       |  |  |  |
|                        | System                             | 8   | 00  | 0:01:18  | 28 K      | Normal       | 38      |  |  |  |
|                        | smss.exe                           | 164 | 00  | 0:00:00  | 32 K      | High         | 6       |  |  |  |
|                        | winlogon.exe                       | 184 | 00  | 0:00:03  | 448 K     | High         | 16      |  |  |  |
|                        | csrss.exe                          | 188 | 00  | 0:00:44  | 216 K     | High         | 10      |  |  |  |
|                        | services.exe                       | 236 | 00  | 0:00:58  | 3,792 K   | Above Normal | 31      |  |  |  |
|                        | Isass.exe                          | 248 | 00  | 0:00:01  | 1,008 K   | Above Normal | 13      |  |  |  |
|                        | ntvdm.exe                          | 276 | 00  | 0:00:03  | 36 K      | Normal       | 3       |  |  |  |
|                        | SynTPLpr.exe                       | 324 | 00  | 0:00:00  | 176 K     | Normal       | 3       |  |  |  |
|                        | svchost.exe                        | 416 | 00  | 0:00:02  | 2,392 K   | Normal       | 8       |  |  |  |
|                        | spoolsv.exe                        | 444 | 00  | 0:00:00  | 2,112 K   | Normal       | 14      |  |  |  |
|                        | Ati2evxx.exe                       | 472 | 00  | 0:00:00  | 232 K     | Normal       | 2       |  |  |  |
|                        | svchost.exe                        | 544 | 00  | 0:00:08  | 4,620 K   | Normal       | 27      |  |  |  |



## Wizard 'ps -cfLeP' output

| UID  | PID | PPID | LWP | PSR | NLW | P CLS | PRI | STIME    | TTY   | LTIME CMD             |
|------|-----|------|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|----------|-------|-----------------------|
| root | 0   | 0    | 1   | -   | 1   | SYS   | 96  | Aug 03   | ?     | 0:01 sched            |
| root | 1   | 0    | 1   | -   | 1   | TS    | 59  | Aug 03   | ?     | 7:12 /etc/init -      |
| root | 2   | 0    | 1   | -   | 1   | SYS   | 98  | Aug 03   | ?     | 0:00 pageout          |
| root | 3   | 0    | 1   | -   | 1   | SYS   | 60  | Aug 03   | ?     | 275:46 fsflush        |
| root | 477 | 352  | 1   | _   | 1   | IA    | 59  | Aug 03 ' | ??    | 0:0                   |
|      |     |      |     |     |     |       |     | /usr/o   | penwi | n/bin/fbconsole -d :0 |
| root | 62  | 1    | 14  | -   | 14  | TS    | 59  | Aug 04   | ?     | 0:00                  |
|      |     |      |     |     |     |       |     | /        | usr/1 | ib/sysevent/syseventd |



## Discussion

- Constant tension between moving functionality to upper layers; involving the application programmer and performing automatically at the lower layers
- Automatically create/manage threads by compiler/ system? (open research question)

